

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,998	12/21/2005	Carina Onneby	43315-217070	5996
26694 VENABLE I	26694 7590 07/08/2009 VENABLE LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385			CHIN, HUI H	
WASHINGI	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531,998 ONNEBY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit **HUI CHIN** 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/531,998 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

 This Office Action is in response to the Response filed 5/7/2009. Claims 1-9 and 11 have been amended and claims 14-28 have been added. Claims 1-28 are now pending.

In view of the Response, the rejections of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,998 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 14-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Bernhoff et al. (US 2002/0070428).

Bernhoff et al. disclose a semiconductive device comprising a field grading material, wherein the material comprises a polymer based material filled with particles of BaTiO₃, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, ZnO or SiC, wherein the particles having a size of 1-100 nm

(claim 17, [0037], and [0038]).

The limitations of claims 14-15 can be found in <u>Bernhoff et al.</u> at paragraph

[0038], where it discloses particles having a size of 1-100 nm.

The limitations of claims 16 and 17 can be found in <u>Bemhoff et al.</u> at claim 17 and paragraph [0036], where it discloses particles of BaTiO₃, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, ZnO or

SiC.

The limitations of claims 18-27 can be found in <u>Bernhoff et al.</u> at claim 17, where it discloses the composition of grading material. Bernhoff's composition would result in substantially the same properties (aspect ratio, form of particles, and volume of the grading material) as the applicant's composition based on the inherency of the

combination of polymer-based resin and filler.

The limitations of claim 28 can be found in <u>Bernhoff et al.</u> at claim 17, where it

discloses the EPDM rubber.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/531,998

Art Unit: 1796

Applicant's arguments filed 5/7/2009 have been fully considered and are not persuasive.

Bernhoff et al. disclose a semiconductive device comprising a field grading material, wherein the material comprises a polymer based material filled with particles of BaTiO₃, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, ZnO or SiC, wherein the particles having a size of 1-100 nm.

In the Response, it was stated that "Bernhoff et al. does not disclose modifying the field grading material with a polymeric matrix or how to achieve percolation at a lower filler concentration." Amount of particles will depend on what type of application to be used. Since Bernhoff et al. and the instant invention have the identical applications and this will lead to same amount of particles.

In the Response, it was also stated that "Bernhoff et al. only discloses adding particles having a size of 1-100 nm to water acting as a field grading material.

However, Bernhoff et al. does not disclose that the particles should be added in a field grading effective amount. Bernhoff et al. only discloses the particles in connection to water as field grading material." Bernhoff et al. disclose a field grading material comprises particles having a size of 1-100 nm, the amount of the particles would fall into the claimed range.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,998

Art Unit: 1796

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUI CHIN whose telephone number is (571)270-7350. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:00am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,998 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ling-Siu Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/HC/